

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,288	04/25/2001	Herwig Buchholz	MERCK 1943	7732
23599	7590 04/10/2002			
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			EXAMINER	
			KWON, BRIAN YONG S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	FAFER NOMBER
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002	5
				÷

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/830,288	BUCHHOLZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian-Yong S Kwon	1614	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a restion.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>25 April</u> 2001 .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice properties of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are objected to.	·		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	] accepted or b)  □ objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objectio	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) d	isapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are require	, · ·		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	uments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received in A	pplication No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for</li> </ul>	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do			
a)  The translation of the foreign langua	ge provisional application has be	een received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	148) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
i, Patent and Trademark Office FO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	ffice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5	

Art Unit: 1614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 of a national stage application filed under 35 U.S.C 371, specific reference to the earlier filed application must be made in the instant application. This should appear as the first sentence of the specification following the title, preferably as a separate paragraph.

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

#### Claim Objections

Claims 1-11 objected to because of the following informalities: "Composition" should be changed to "A composition" in claim 1. In claims 2-11, "Composition" should be changed to "The composition". Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Application/Control Number: 09/830,288 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the specific methyl and methylene donors in claim 2 (e.g., betaine, dimethylglycine, sarcosine and serine), the specific methyl transporters in claim 3(e.g., dihydrofolic acid, tetrahydrofolic acid, 5-methyltetrahydrofolic acid, 5-formyltetrahydrofolic acid, etc...) and the specific bioflavonoids in claim 6 (e.g., isoquercetin, quercitrin, isoquercitrin, quercimeritin, etc...) does not reasonably provide enablement for the term "methyl and methylene donors", "methyl transporters" and "bioflavonoids". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The instant specification fails to provide information that would allow the skilled artisan to practice the instant invention without undue experimentation. Attention is directed to *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ 1400 (CAFC 1988) at 1404 (CAFC 1988) at 1404 where the court set forth the eight factors to consider when assessing if a disclosure would have required undue experimentation. Citing *Ex parte Forman*, 230 USPQ 546 (BdApls) at 547 the court recited eight factors:

- 1) the quantity of experimentation necessary,
- 2) the amount of direction or guidance provided,

Art Unit: 1614

3) the presence of absence of working examples,

- 4) the nature of the invention,
- 5) the state of the prior art,
- 6) the relative skill of those in the art,
- 7) the predictability of the art, and
- 8) the breadth of the claims.

Applicant fails to set forth the criteria that define those characteristics defining either an "methyl and methylene donors", "methyl transporters" and "bioflavonoids". Additionally, Applicant fails to provide information allowing the skilled artisan to ascertain these compounds without undue experimentation. The pharmaceutical art is unpredictable, requiring each embodiment to be individually assessed for physiological activity. The instant claim cover all compounds that show the characteristic of "methyl and methylene donors", "methyl transporters" and "bioflavonoids", necessitating an exhaustive search for the embodiments suitable to practice the claimed invention. Applicants fail to provide information sufficient to practice the claimed invention, absent undue experimentation.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 7 and 12 are vague and unclear of what is meant by "transmethylation disorders" and the specification does not define the term. Such term is not recognized in the art. Does applicant mean "cardiovascular disease such as atherogenic and thrombogenic disease" (as described in page 12, lines 1-3 of the specification)? Applicant is requested to clarify.

Claim 12 provides for the use of one or more compounds from methyl and methylene donors, one or more methyl transporters, and one or more bioflavonoids, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-2, 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Strydom (EP 0347864 A).

Art Unit: 1614

The claims read on a composition comprising one or more active ingredients, wherein the active ingredients consist of one or more of methyl and methylene donor compound, one or more of methyl transporter compound and one or more bioflavonoids.

Strydom (EP 0347864 A) teaches the use of bioflavonoid (e.g., rutin, hesperidin and troxerutin) and/or betaine as an anti-atherogenic agent by lowering homocysteine (page 2, line 52 and claims 1, 3 and 6-7).

Claims 1, 3-4, 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by La Greca (US 6093703 A).

La Greca discloses a pharmaceutical composition comprising S-adenosyl-methionine salt, 5-methyltetrahydrofolic acid and/or 5-formyltetrahydrofolic acid (claims 1-11).

Although La Greca is silent about the use of the claimed composition for treating transmethylation disorders, cardiovascular disease, atherogenic or thrombogenic diseases or hyperhomocysteinemia, such property of characteristic is deemed to be inherent to the composition, i.e., it was always there. It is noted to applicant that claims to a composition possessing a particular property or characteristic are still properly rejected by a reference to the same composition, even if the reference does not address or acknowledge the property.

#### Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Kwon whose telephone number is (703) 308-5377. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel, can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Brian Kwon

ZOHREH FAY PRIMARY EXAMINER